

## MISSISSIPPI STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

### REPORT OF INSPECTION OF DRINKING WATER SUPPLY

PWS: <u>0240036</u> Class: <u>D</u>

An inspection of the <u>CITY OF BILOXI-FRENCH</u> water supply in <u>HARRISON</u> county was made on <u>09/20/2016</u>. Present at the time of inspection was <u>TRACEY L FOREHAND</u>, <u>OPERATOR</u>; <u>BRENT HODGE</u>, <u>OPERATOR</u>; <u>WRITER</u>. Official Address <u>PO BOX 429 BILOXI MS 39533</u> W.W. Operator <u>TRACEY L FOREHAND</u> Address <u>PO BOX 429 BILOXI MS 39533</u> No. Connections <u>868</u> No. Meters \_\_\_\_ Population Served <u>2818</u> Field Chemical Analysis: pH \_\_\_\_ Cl2(free) <u>0.5</u> Cl2(total) <u>0.6</u> H2S <u>N/A</u> Iron \_\_\_ Fluoride \_\_\_ Point of Sampling <u>DISTRIBUTION</u> Water Rates \_\_\_

#### COMMENTS

Technical: 5 Managerial: 5 Financial: 5

OVERALL CAPACITY RATING: 5.0 / 5.0

- 1. At the time of inspection, the water system appeared to be well maintained and operating properly.
- 2. The design capacity calculations attached to this report and the table below give the required minimum chlorine residual near each entry point. Should system officials choose to conduct 4-log virus inactivation to comply with the Groundwater Rule, the free chlorine residual will have to be measured and recorded at least daily at or before the first customer near each entry point and must meet the minimum residuals given below.

Location
Well #2 Pressure Tank
Well #3 Pressure Tank
Well #5 First Connection

Required minimum
0.2 mg/l
0.3 mg/l
1.3 mg/l

- 3. No pressure problems were reported at the time of inspection.
- 4. When repairs are made on the water distribution system, all lines affected should be properly chlorinated and flushed before they are placed back in service.



# Mississippi Department of Health Bureau of Public Water Supply

STANDARD FORM

# FY 2017 Public Water System Capacity Assessment Form

regional engineer of the Bureau of Public Water Supply	done water system is conducted by a
PWS ID#: <u>0240036</u> Class: <u>D</u> Survey Date: <u>09-20-2016</u> C Public Water System: <u>CITY OF BILOXI-FRENCH</u> Certified Waterworks Operator: <u>TRACEY L FOREHAND</u>	ounty: <u>HARRISON</u> Conn: <u>868</u> Pop: <u>2818</u>
CAPACITY RATING DETERMINATION  Technical (T) Capacity Rating: [5] Managerial (M) Capacity Rating [5	_] Financial (F) Capacity Rating [_5_]
Capacity Rating = $\frac{T + M + F}{3} = \frac{15}{3} = 5$	Overall Capacity Rating = 5.0
Completed by Wendy Ferrill, P.E. on 09/22/2016	
Reviewed by Ralph Hayes, P.E. on 09/23/2016	
Comments:	

Technical Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[T1] Does the water system have any significant deficiencies? [YN]	N - 1pt. Y - 0pt.	1
[T2] 1) Was the water treatment process functioning properly? [YN] (i.e. Is pH, iron, free chlorine, fluoride, etc. within acceptable range?) 2) Was needed water system equipment in place and functioning properly at the time of survey? [YN] (NOTE: Equipment deficiencies must be identified in survey report.) 3) Were records available to the regional engineer clearly showing that all water storage tanks have been inspected and cleaned or painted (if needed) within the past 5 years? [YN NA]	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	1
[T3] 1) Was the certified waterworks operator or his/her authorized representative present for the survey? [YN] 2) Was log book up to date and properly maintained and did it show that MSDH Minimum JOB Guidelines for W. W. Operators were being met? [YN] 3) Was the water system properly maintained at the time of survey? [YN] 4) Did operator satisfactorily demonstrate to the regional engineer that he/she could fully perform all water quality tests required to properly operate this water system? [YN]	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	1
[T4] 1) Does water system routinely track water loss and were acceptable water loss records available for review by the regional engineer? YN] 2) Is water system overloaded? (i.e. serving customers in excess of MSDH approved design capacity)? YN] 3) Was there any indication that the water system is/has been experiencing pressure problems in any part(s) of the distribution system? YN] (based on operator information, customer complaints, MSDH records, other information) 4) Are well pumping tests performed routinely? YN NA]  (NOTE: YES FOR #1 & YES OR N/A FOR #4 AND NOs FOR #2 & #3 required to receive point)	1)Y = pt. 2)N - pt. 3)N - pt.	1
[T5] 1) Does the water system have the ability to provide water during power outages? (i.e. generator, emergency tie-ins, etc.) [YN] 2) Does the water system have a usable backup source of water?  [YN] (NOTE: Must be documented on survey report)	Elso O et	1
TECHNICAL CAPACITY RATING = [ _ 5 ] (Total Points)		

Revision Date: 07/12/2016

Public Water System: <u>CITY OF BILOXI-FRENCH</u>

PWS ID #: <u>0240036</u> Survey Date: <u>09-20-2016</u>

FY 2017 Public Water System	Capacity Assessment Form
-----------------------------	--------------------------

Managerial Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[M1] Were all SDWA required records maintained in a logical and orderly manner and available for review by the regional engineer during the survey? YN]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	i
[M2] 1) Have acceptable written policies and procedures for operating this water system been formally adopted and were these policies available for review during the survey? [YN] 2) Have all board members (in office more than 12 months) completed Board Member Training? [YN] 3) Does the Board of Directors meet monthly and were minutes of Board meetings available for review during the survey? (NOTE: Quarterly meetings allowed if system has an officially designated full time manager) [YN NA] (NOTE: ALL YESs or NAs required to receive point. NA - Not Applicable)	All Y - I pt. Else - 0 pt.	1
[M3] Has the water system had any SDWA violations since the last Capacity Assessment? [YN]	N = 1 pt. Y = 0pt.	1
[M4] Has the water system developed a long range improvements plan and was this plan available for review during the survey? (Y) N]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[M5] 1) Does the water system have an effective cross connection control program in compliance with MSDH regulations? (YN) 2) Was a copy of the MSDH approved bacti site plan and lead/copper site plan available for review during the survey and do the bacti results clearly show that this approved plan is being followed? (YN) (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	1
MANAGERIAL CAPACITY RATING = [ 5 ] (Total Points)		

Financial Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[F1] Has the water system raised water rates in the past 5 years? [YN] (NOTE: Point may be awarded if the water system provides acceptable financial documentation clearly showing that a rate increase is not needed, i.e. revenue has consistently exceeded expenditures by at least 10%, etc.)	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[F2] Does the water system have an officially adopted policy requiring that water rates be routinely reviewed and adjusted as appropriate and was this policy available for review during the survey?	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[F3] Does the water system have an officially adopted cut-off policy for customers who do not pay their water bills, was a copy of this policy available for review by the regional engineer, and do system records (cut-off lists, etc.) clearly show that the water system effectively implements this cut-off policy? YN]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[F4] Was a copy of the water system's officially adopted annual budget available for review by the regional engineer and does the water system's financial accounting system clearly and accurately track the expenditure and receipt of funds? YN]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[F5 - Municipal Systems] 1) Is the municipality current in submitting audit reports to the State Auditor's Office? (YN) 2) Was a copy of the latest audit report available for review at the time of the survey? [(YN)] 3) Does this audit report clearly show that water and sewer fund account(s) are maintained separately from all other municipal accounts? YN]  (NOTE: Yes answer to all questions required to receive point.)	All Y = 1 pt. Else = 0 pt.	1
[F5 - Rural Systems] 1) Has the rural water system filed the required financial reports with the State Auditor's Office and were these reports available for review? [YN] 2) Does the latest financial report show that receipts exceeded expenditures? [YN]  (NOTE: Yes answer to both questions required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
FINANCIAL CAPACITY RATING = [ 5 ] (Total Points)		

### MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH BUREAU OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY DESIGN CAPACITY SHEET

System: CITY OF BILOXI-FRENCH

ID: 0240036 Class: D County: HARRISON

Date Completed: 09/22/2016

Connections - Actual: 868 Equivalent: 868

Design Capacity: 5150 Percent Design Capacity: 868/5150 = 16.9%

Design Capacity = Well Capacity + Elevated Storage / 200
Well Capacity = 150 + 525 + 1500 + 400
Well Capacity = 2575

Design Capacity = 2575 + 1,000,000 / 200
Design Capacity = 2575 + 5000
Design Capacity = 7575

\*\* Design Capacity is limited to twice the well capacity.

Design Capacity = 5150

% of Design Capacity = ( # of existing connections / design capacity ) \* 100
% of Design Capacity = ( 868 / 5150 ) \* 100
% of Design Capacity = 16.9

#### GROUNDWATER RULE CALCULATIONS:

Well #1: T = 68F + 6 = 74FCT = 2.3mg\*min/L

C = 2.3 mg\*min/L / ((1/6)\*17,000 gal)/150 GPM

C = 0.2 mg/L \*Therefore, the minimum residual of free chlorine at the tank should be 0.2 mg/L.

Well #3: T = 68F + 8 = 76FCT = 2.1mg\*min/L

C = 2.1 mg\*min/L / ((1/6)\*20,000 gal)/525 GPM

C = 0.3 mg/L \*Therefore, the minimum residual of free chlorine at the tank should be 0.3 mg/L.

Well #5: T = 68F + 8 = 76F

CT = 2.1mq\*min/L

C = 2.1 mg\*min/L / ((25 ft\*4.1gal/ft)/1500 GPM + (250 ft\*4.1gal/ft)/750 GPM + (20 ft\*4.1gal/ft)/375 GPM)

C = 2.1 mg\*min/L / (0.068 + 1.37 + 0.22)

C = 1.3 mg/L \*Therefore, the minimum residual of free chlorine at the tank should be 1.3 mg/L.

### MISSISSIPPI STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DIVISION OF WATER SUPPLY PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY - MASTER DATA SHEET

Name of Suppl	ly: City of	Biloxi – French	Owner:		City	_ County: _	Н	arrison
PWS ID#	0240036	Class: D	Date of	f Last Inspec	tion: 09-20-	2016 Maste	er Meter:	Yes
Actual Connec	tions:86	8 Equiv	alent Connecti	ions:8	368 Des	sign Capacity	:	5150
% of Design Capacity: 16.9 GWR Status: Triggered Monitoring					g			
Source Supply:		Sur						
Well ID	Location	Year Constructed	Capacity (gpm)	Pressure (psi)	Casing (in)	Screen (in)	Depth (ft)	Cl2 Setting
0240036-01	Doty Rd.	1961						Abandoned
0240036-02	Oaklawn Rd.	1989	150	60	10	6	560	22
0240036-03	Oaklawn Rd.	1996	525	60	12	8	817	25
0240036-04	Oaklawn S/D	1961		60	4		350	Abandoned
0240036-05	I-10 Service Rd.	2006	1500	60	20	10	782	100
0240036-06	Bradford Place	1997	400		10	6	751	30
Treatment:	Iron	Softening	Corros	sion	Chlorin	ne X	Fluorid	e
Treatment:	<u>No</u>	Location	<b>Type</b>	<u>Ca</u>	pacity (max)	Setting	<u>s</u>	Remarks
Chlorinator	2		Advance 4	80	100 ppd			Switchover
	1		Advance 20		200 ppd			Switchover
								3 1110110 101
Storage:	<u>Location</u>	Year Constructed	l <u>Material</u>	Capaci	ity (gallons)	Remark	s Ins	pection Date
Pressure	Well #1		Steel		9,000	Not in Us	se	
Pressure	Well #2		Steel	1	7,000			2-09-2016
Pressure	Well #3		Steel	2	0,000			2-09-2016
Pressure	Well #4		Steel		525			
Pressure	Well #4		Steel		525			
Elevated	Oaklawn		Steel		00,000	156' to O	F 0	2-26-2016
Pressure	Well #6		Steel	1.	2,000			2-09-2016
Generator:	Туре	Lo	cation	Rating		<u>Fuel</u>		<u>loutine</u>